April 3: Krull Domains and the Mori-Nagata Theorem, part 3

April 3: Krull Domains and the Mori-Nagata Theorem, part 3

문어 문

We now turn our attention to the Mori-Nagata Theorem. The proof is based upon ideas of Nagata, Rees, Querre, and McAdam. The original proof due to Nagata used the Cohen structure theorem and properties of completions; in particular, it used the fact that a complete local domain is finite over a complete regular local ring. More modern treatments, like the one below, avoid the use of completions.

きょうきょう

э

We now turn our attention to the Mori-Nagata Theorem. The proof is based upon ideas of Nagata, Rees, Querre, and McAdam. The original proof due to Nagata used the Cohen structure theorem and properties of completions; in particular, it used the fact that a complete local domain is finite over a complete regular local ring. More modern treatments, like the one below, avoid the use of completions.

The following is our first lemma.

We now turn our attention to the Mori-Nagata Theorem. The proof is based upon ideas of Nagata, Rees, Querre, and McAdam. The original proof due to Nagata used the Cohen structure theorem and properties of completions; in particular, it used the fact that a complete local domain is finite over a complete regular local ring. More modern treatments, like the one below, avoid the use of completions.

The following is our first lemma. A crucial point in Nagata's proof of the Mori-Nagata theorem was that height one primes in the integral closure of a Noetherian domain R contract to grade one primes in R.

We now turn our attention to the Mori-Nagata Theorem. The proof is based upon ideas of Nagata, Rees, Querre, and McAdam. The original proof due to Nagata used the Cohen structure theorem and properties of completions; in particular, it used the fact that a complete local domain is finite over a complete regular local ring. More modern treatments, like the one below, avoid the use of completions.

The following is our first lemma. A crucial point in Nagata's proof of the Mori-Nagata theorem was that height one primes in the integral closure of a Noetherian domain R contract to grade one primes in R.

The proof required passage to the completion.

We now turn our attention to the Mori-Nagata Theorem. The proof is based upon ideas of Nagata, Rees, Querre, and McAdam. The original proof due to Nagata used the Cohen structure theorem and properties of completions; in particular, it used the fact that a complete local domain is finite over a complete regular local ring. More modern treatments, like the one below, avoid the use of completions.

The following is our first lemma. A crucial point in Nagata's proof of the Mori-Nagata theorem was that height one primes in the integral closure of a Noetherian domain R contract to grade one primes in R.

The proof required passage to the completion. We extend McAdam's elementary proof of this fact, so that it applies to primes in the integral closure minimal over colon ideals.

We now turn our attention to the Mori-Nagata Theorem. The proof is based upon ideas of Nagata, Rees, Querre, and McAdam. The original proof due to Nagata used the Cohen structure theorem and properties of completions; in particular, it used the fact that a complete local domain is finite over a complete regular local ring. More modern treatments, like the one below, avoid the use of completions.

The following is our first lemma. A crucial point in Nagata's proof of the Mori-Nagata theorem was that height one primes in the integral closure of a Noetherian domain R contract to grade one primes in R.

The proof required passage to the completion. We extend McAdam's elementary proof of this fact, so that it applies to primes in the integral closure minimal over colon ideals.

Lemma K2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain with integral closure *S*. Take $a \in R$, assume that $Q \subseteq S$ is minimal prime over $(aS :_S b)$ and set $P := Q \cap R$. Then *P* is an associated prime of R/aR.

・回り イラト イラト

Proof. We may assume R is local at P.

A ► April 3: Krull Domains and the Mori-Nagata Theorem, part 3

Proof. We may assume R is local at P. Since Q is minimal over $(a:_S b)S$, for all $q \in Q$, there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$ such that $s \cdot q^h b \in aS$, for some h.

э.

Proof. We may assume R is local at P. Since Q is minimal over $(a:_S b)S$, for all $q \in Q$, there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$ such that $s \cdot q^h b \in aS$, for some h.

If we do this for the finitely many generators of P,

April 3: Krull Domains and the Mori-Nagata Theorem, part 3

きょうきょう

Proof. We may assume R is local at P. Since Q is minimal over $(a:_S b)S$, for all $q \in Q$, there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$ such that $s \cdot q^h b \in aS$, for some h.

If we do this for the finitely many generators of P, it follows that there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$, $t \ge 1$ and a ring $R \subseteq R_0 \subseteq S$, such that $P^t \cdot sb \subseteq aR_0$ and R_0 is a finite R-module,

Proof. We may assume R is local at P. Since Q is minimal over $(a:_S b)S$, for all $q \in Q$, there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$ such that $s \cdot q^h b \in aS$, for some h.

If we do this for the finitely many generators of P, it follows that there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$, $t \ge 1$ and a ring $R \subseteq R_0 \subseteq S$, such that $P^t \cdot sb \subseteq aR_0$ and R_0 is a finite R-module, including, $s, b \in R_0$.

Proof. We may assume R is local at P. Since Q is minimal over $(a:_S b)S$, for all $q \in Q$, there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$ such that $s \cdot q^h b \in aS$, for some h.

If we do this for the finitely many generators of P, it follows that there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$, $t \ge 1$ and a ring $R \subseteq R_0 \subseteq S$, such that $P^t \cdot sb \subseteq aR_0$ and R_0 is a finite R-module, including, $s, b \in R_0$.

Thus, for all $n \ge 1$, $P^{nt} \cdot s^n b^n \subseteq a^n R_0$.

Proof. We may assume R is local at P. Since Q is minimal over $(a:_S b)S$, for all $q \in Q$, there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$ such that $s \cdot q^h b \in aS$, for some h.

If we do this for the finitely many generators of P, it follows that there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$, $t \ge 1$ and a ring $R \subseteq R_0 \subseteq S$, such that $P^t \cdot sb \subseteq aR_0$ and R_0 is a finite R-module, including, $s, b \in R_0$.

Thus, for all $n \ge 1$, $P^{nt} \cdot s^n b^n \subseteq a^n R_0$.

Let $0 \neq c \in R$ satisfy $c \cdot R_0 \subseteq R$.

Proof. We may assume R is local at P. Since Q is minimal over $(a:_S b)S$, for all $q \in Q$, there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$ such that $s \cdot q^h b \in aS$, for some h.

If we do this for the finitely many generators of P, it follows that there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$, $t \ge 1$ and a ring $R \subseteq R_0 \subseteq S$, such that $P^t \cdot sb \subseteq aR_0$ and R_0 is a finite R-module, including, $s, b \in R_0$.

Thus, for all $n \ge 1$, $P^{nt} \cdot s^n b^n \subseteq a^n R_0$.

Let $0 \neq c \in R$ satisfy $c \cdot R_0 \subseteq R$. Then, $P^{nt} \cdot (cs^n b^n) \subseteq a^n R$, for all n.

Proof. We may assume R is local at P. Since Q is minimal over $(a:_S b)S$, for all $q \in Q$, there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$ such that $s \cdot q^h b \in aS$, for some h.

If we do this for the finitely many generators of P, it follows that there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$, $t \ge 1$ and a ring $R \subseteq R_0 \subseteq S$, such that $P^t \cdot sb \subseteq aR_0$ and R_0 is a finite R-module, including, $s, b \in R_0$.

Thus, for all $n \ge 1$, $P^{nt} \cdot s^n b^n \subseteq a^n R_0$.

Let $0 \neq c \in R$ satisfy $c \cdot R_0 \subseteq R$. Then, $P^{nt} \cdot (cs^n b^n) \subseteq a^n R$, for all n.

If $cs^n b^n \in a^n R$, for all *n*, then $R[\frac{sb}{a}] \subseteq R \cdot \frac{1}{c}$,

Proof. We may assume R is local at P. Since Q is minimal over $(a:_S b)S$, for all $q \in Q$, there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$ such that $s \cdot q^h b \in aS$, for some h.

If we do this for the finitely many generators of P, it follows that there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$, $t \ge 1$ and a ring $R \subseteq R_0 \subseteq S$, such that $P^t \cdot sb \subseteq aR_0$ and R_0 is a finite R-module, including, $s, b \in R_0$.

Thus, for all $n \ge 1$, $P^{nt} \cdot s^n b^n \subseteq a^n R_0$.

Let $0 \neq c \in R$ satisfy $c \cdot R_0 \subseteq R$. Then, $P^{nt} \cdot (cs^n b^n) \subseteq a^n R$, for all n.

If $cs^n b^n \in a^n R$, for all *n*, then $R[\frac{sb}{a}] \subseteq R \cdot \frac{1}{c}$, so $\frac{sb}{a} \in S$, which implies $s \in (aS : s \ b) \subseteq Q$, a contradiction.

Proof. We may assume R is local at P. Since Q is minimal over $(a:_S b)S$, for all $q \in Q$, there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$ such that $s \cdot q^h b \in aS$, for some h.

If we do this for the finitely many generators of P, it follows that there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$, $t \ge 1$ and a ring $R \subseteq R_0 \subseteq S$, such that $P^t \cdot sb \subseteq aR_0$ and R_0 is a finite R-module, including, $s, b \in R_0$.

Thus, for all $n \ge 1$, $P^{nt} \cdot s^n b^n \subseteq a^n R_0$.

Let $0 \neq c \in R$ satisfy $c \cdot R_0 \subseteq R$. Then, $P^{nt} \cdot (cs^n b^n) \subseteq a^n R$, for all n.

If $cs^n b^n \in a^n R$, for all *n*, then $R[\frac{sb}{a}] \subseteq R \cdot \frac{1}{c}$, so $\frac{sb}{a} \in S$, which implies $s \in (aS : s \ b) \subseteq Q$, a contradiction.

Thus, for some *n*, $cs^n b^n \notin a^n R$.

Proof. We may assume R is local at P. Since Q is minimal over $(a:_S b)S$, for all $q \in Q$, there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$ such that $s \cdot q^h b \in aS$, for some h.

If we do this for the finitely many generators of P, it follows that there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$, $t \ge 1$ and a ring $R \subseteq R_0 \subseteq S$, such that $P^t \cdot sb \subseteq aR_0$ and R_0 is a finite R-module, including, $s, b \in R_0$.

Thus, for all $n \ge 1$, $P^{nt} \cdot s^n b^n \subseteq a^n R_0$.

Let $0 \neq c \in R$ satisfy $c \cdot R_0 \subseteq R$. Then, $P^{nt} \cdot (cs^n b^n) \subseteq a^n R$, for all n.

If $cs^n b^n \in a^n R$, for all *n*, then $R[\frac{sb}{a}] \subseteq R \cdot \frac{1}{c}$, so $\frac{sb}{a} \in S$, which implies $s \in (aS : s \ b) \subseteq Q$, a contradiction.

Thus, for some $n, cs^n b^n \notin a^n R$. Therefore, P^{nt} consists of zero divisors modulo $a^n R$.

Proof. We may assume R is local at P. Since Q is minimal over $(a:_S b)S$, for all $q \in Q$, there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$ such that $s \cdot q^h b \in aS$, for some h.

If we do this for the finitely many generators of P, it follows that there exists $s \in S \setminus Q$, $t \ge 1$ and a ring $R \subseteq R_0 \subseteq S$, such that $P^t \cdot sb \subseteq aR_0$ and R_0 is a finite R-module, including, $s, b \in R_0$.

Thus, for all $n \ge 1$, $P^{nt} \cdot s^n b^n \subseteq a^n R_0$.

Let $0 \neq c \in R$ satisfy $c \cdot R_0 \subseteq R$. Then, $P^{nt} \cdot (cs^n b^n) \subseteq a^n R$, for all n.

If $cs^n b^n \in a^n R$, for all *n*, then $R[\frac{sb}{a}] \subseteq R \cdot \frac{1}{c}$, so $\frac{sb}{a} \in S$, which implies $s \in (aS : s \ b) \subseteq Q$, a contradiction.

Thus, for some $n, cs^n b^n \notin a^n R$. Therefore, P^{nt} consists of zero divisors modulo $a^n R$.

Since *P* is maximal, it follows that $P \in Ass(R/a^nR)$, and hence $P \in Ass(R/aR)$, which gives what we want.

Lemma L2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain and set $A := S \cap T$, where *T* is the global transform of *R* and *S* is the integral closure of *R*. If $P \subseteq A$ is a maximal ideal and *P* is an associated prime of a principal ideal, then A_P is a DVR.

きょうきょう

Lemma L2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain and set $A := S \cap T$, where *T* is the global transform of *R* and *S* is the integral closure of *R*. If $P \subseteq A$ is a maximal ideal and *P* is an associated prime of a principal ideal, then A_P is a DVR.

Proof. Suppose P = (aA : b) is maximal.

医下颌 医下颌

Lemma L2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain and set $A := S \cap T$, where *T* is the global transform of *R* and *S* is the integral closure of *R*. If $P \subseteq A$ is a maximal ideal and *P* is an associated prime of a principal ideal, then A_P is a DVR.

Proof. Suppose P = (aA : b) is maximal. If $m := R \cap P$, then *m* is maximal (since *A* is integral over *R*) and

医下颌 医下颌

Lemma L2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain and set $A := S \cap T$, where *T* is the global transform of *R* and *S* is the integral closure of *R*. If $P \subseteq A$ is a maximal ideal and *P* is an associated prime of a principal ideal, then A_P is a DVR.

Proof. Suppose P = (aA : b) is maximal. If $m := R \cap P$, then *m* is maximal (since *A* is integral over *R*) and m(b/a) is contained in $A \subseteq T$, so $Jm(b/a) \subseteq R$, for *J* a product of maximal ideals.

化压压 化压压

Lemma L2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain and set $A := S \cap T$, where *T* is the global transform of *R* and *S* is the integral closure of *R*. If $P \subseteq A$ is a maximal ideal and *P* is an associated prime of a principal ideal, then A_P is a DVR.

Proof. Suppose P = (aA : b) is maximal. If $m := R \cap P$, then *m* is maximal (since *A* is integral over *R*) and m(b/a) is contained in $A \subseteq T$, so $Jm(b/a) \subseteq R$, for *J* a product of maximal ideals. Thus, $b/a \in T$.

化压压 化压压

Lemma L2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain and set $A := S \cap T$, where *T* is the global transform of *R* and *S* is the integral closure of *R*. If $P \subseteq A$ is a maximal ideal and *P* is an associated prime of a principal ideal, then A_P is a DVR.

Proof. Suppose P = (aA : b) is maximal. If $m := R \cap P$, then *m* is maximal (since *A* is integral over *R*) and m(b/a) is contained in $A \subseteq T$, so $Jm(b/a) \subseteq R$, for *J* a product of maximal ideals. Thus, $b/a \in T$.

Now, either $P \cdot P^{-1} = P$ or $P \cdot P^{-1} = A$.

伺 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ つ へ (~

Lemma L2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain and set $A := S \cap T$, where *T* is the global transform of *R* and *S* is the integral closure of *R*. If $P \subseteq A$ is a maximal ideal and *P* is an associated prime of a principal ideal, then A_P is a DVR.

Proof. Suppose P = (aA : b) is maximal. If $m := R \cap P$, then *m* is maximal (since *A* is integral over *R*) and m(b/a) is contained in $A \subseteq T$, so $Jm(b/a) \subseteq R$, for *J* a product of maximal ideals. Thus, $b/a \in T$.

Now, either $P \cdot P^{-1} = P$ or $P \cdot P^{-1} = A$.

In the first case, we get $P \cdot b/a \subseteq P$, which would implies b/a is integral over R and thus $b/a \in S$.

伺い イラト イラト

= nav

Lemma L2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain and set $A := S \cap T$, where *T* is the global transform of *R* and *S* is the integral closure of *R*. If $P \subseteq A$ is a maximal ideal and *P* is an associated prime of a principal ideal, then A_P is a DVR.

Proof. Suppose P = (aA : b) is maximal. If $m := R \cap P$, then *m* is maximal (since *A* is integral over *R*) and m(b/a) is contained in $A \subseteq T$, so $Jm(b/a) \subseteq R$, for *J* a product of maximal ideals. Thus, $b/a \in T$.

Now, either $P \cdot P^{-1} = P$ or $P \cdot P^{-1} = A$.

In the first case, we get $P \cdot b/a \subseteq P$, which would implies b/a is integral over R and thus $b/a \in S$.

But then $b/a \in A$, contradiction.

伺い イラト イラト

= na0

Lemma L2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain and set $A := S \cap T$, where *T* is the global transform of *R* and *S* is the integral closure of *R*. If $P \subseteq A$ is a maximal ideal and *P* is an associated prime of a principal ideal, then A_P is a DVR.

Proof. Suppose P = (aA : b) is maximal. If $m := R \cap P$, then *m* is maximal (since *A* is integral over *R*) and m(b/a) is contained in $A \subseteq T$, so $Jm(b/a) \subseteq R$, for *J* a product of maximal ideals. Thus, $b/a \in T$.

Now, either $P \cdot P^{-1} = P$ or $P \cdot P^{-1} = A$.

In the first case, we get $P \cdot b/a \subseteq P$, which would implies b/a is integral over R and thus $b/a \in S$.

But then $b/a \in A$, contradiction.

Thus, P is invertible, so P_P is principal. i.e., A_P is a DVR.

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

= nav

Corollary M2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain with integral closure *S*. Let $a \in R$ and suppose that $Q \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal minimal over $(aS :_S b)$.

通 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト ー

э.

Corollary M2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain with integral closure *S*. Let $a \in R$ and suppose that $Q \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal minimal over $(aS :_S b)$. Set $P := Q \cap R$.

通 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト ー

э.

Corollary M2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain with integral closure *S*. Let $a \in R$ and suppose that $Q \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal minimal over $(aS :_S b)$. Set $P := Q \cap R$.

Then Q has height one and there are only finitely many height one prime ideals $Q := Q_1, \ldots, Q_h$ in S lying over P.

医下口 医下

Corollary M2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain with integral closure *S*. Let $a \in R$ and suppose that $Q \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal minimal over $(aS :_S b)$. Set $P := Q \cap R$.

Then Q has height one and there are only finitely many height one prime ideals $Q := Q_1, \ldots, Q_h$ in S lying over P. Morover, for any $1 \le i \le h$, S_{Q_i} is a DVR.

きょうきょう

Corollary M2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain with integral closure *S*. Let $a \in R$ and suppose that $Q \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal minimal over $(aS :_S b)$. Set $P := Q \cap R$.

Then Q has height one and there are only finitely many height one prime ideals $Q := Q_1, \ldots, Q_h$ in S lying over P. Morover, for any $1 \le i \le h$, S_{Q_i} is a DVR.

Proof. We may assume that R is local at P.

Corollary M2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain with integral closure *S*. Let $a \in R$ and suppose that $Q \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal minimal over $(aS :_S b)$. Set $P := Q \cap R$.

Then Q has height one and there are only finitely many height one prime ideals $Q := Q_1, \ldots, Q_h$ in S lying over P. Morover, for any $1 \le i \le h$, S_{Q_i} is a DVR.

Proof. We may assume that R is local at P. Let A be as in the Lemma L2.

Corollary M2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain with integral closure *S*. Let $a \in R$ and suppose that $Q \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal minimal over $(aS :_S b)$. Set $P := Q \cap R$.

Then Q has height one and there are only finitely many height one prime ideals $Q := Q_1, \ldots, Q_h$ in S lying over P. Morover, for any $1 \le i \le h$, S_{Q_i} is a DVR.

Proof. We may assume that *R* is local at *P*. Let *A* be as in the Lemma L2. Lemma K2 applied to the ring *A*, shows $Q \cap A$ is associated to a principal ideal.

不是下 不是下
Corollary M2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain with integral closure *S*. Let $a \in R$ and suppose that $Q \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal minimal over $(aS :_S b)$. Set $P := Q \cap R$.

Then Q has height one and there are only finitely many height one prime ideals $Q := Q_1, \ldots, Q_h$ in S lying over P. Morover, for any $1 \le i \le h$, S_{Q_i} is a DVR.

Proof. We may assume that *R* is local at *P*. Let *A* be as in the Lemma L2. Lemma K2 applied to the ring *A*, shows $Q \cap A$ is associated to a principal ideal.

Thus, by Lemma L2, $A_{Q \cap A}$ is a DVR.

化压力 化压力

Corollary M2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain with integral closure *S*. Let $a \in R$ and suppose that $Q \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal minimal over $(aS :_S b)$. Set $P := Q \cap R$.

Then Q has height one and there are only finitely many height one prime ideals $Q := Q_1, \ldots, Q_h$ in S lying over P. Morover, for any $1 \le i \le h$, S_{Q_i} is a DVR.

Proof. We may assume that *R* is local at *P*. Let *A* be as in the Lemma L2. Lemma K2 applied to the ring *A*, shows $Q \cap A$ is associated to a principal ideal.

Thus, by Lemma L2, $A_{Q \cap A}$ is a DVR. It follows that $A_{Q \cap A} = S_Q$.

伺い イラト イラト

Corollary M2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain with integral closure *S*. Let $a \in R$ and suppose that $Q \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal minimal over $(aS :_S b)$. Set $P := Q \cap R$.

Then Q has height one and there are only finitely many height one prime ideals $Q := Q_1, \ldots, Q_h$ in S lying over P. Morover, for any $1 \le i \le h$, S_{Q_i} is a DVR.

Proof. We may assume that *R* is local at *P*. Let *A* be as in the Lemma L2. Lemma K2 applied to the ring *A*, shows $Q \cap A$ is associated to a principal ideal.

Thus, by Lemma L2, $A_{Q \cap A}$ is a DVR. It follows that $A_{Q \cap A} = S_Q$.

In particular, Q has height one.

伺い イラト イラト

Corollary M2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain with integral closure *S*. Let $a \in R$ and suppose that $Q \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal minimal over $(aS :_S b)$. Set $P := Q \cap R$.

Then Q has height one and there are only finitely many height one prime ideals $Q := Q_1, \ldots, Q_h$ in S lying over P. Morover, for any $1 \le i \le h$, S_{Q_i} is a DVR.

Proof. We may assume that *R* is local at *P*. Let *A* be as in the Lemma L2. Lemma K2 applied to the ring *A*, shows $Q \cap A$ is associated to a principal ideal.

Thus, by Lemma L2, $A_{Q \cap A}$ is a DVR. It follows that $A_{Q \cap A} = S_Q$.

In particular, Q has height one.

The same argument applies to any height one prime in S lying over P.

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Corollary M2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain with integral closure *S*. Let $a \in R$ and suppose that $Q \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal minimal over $(aS :_S b)$. Set $P := Q \cap R$.

Then Q has height one and there are only finitely many height one prime ideals $Q := Q_1, \ldots, Q_h$ in S lying over P. Morover, for any $1 \le i \le h$, S_{Q_i} is a DVR.

Proof. We may assume that *R* is local at *P*. Let *A* be as in the Lemma L2. Lemma K2 applied to the ring *A*, shows $Q \cap A$ is associated to a principal ideal.

Thus, by Lemma L2, $A_{Q \cap A}$ is a DVR. It follows that $A_{Q \cap A} = S_Q$.

In particular, Q has height one.

The same argument applies to any height one prime in S lying over P. Thus, these all contract to distinct primes in A containing PA.

くぼう くまう くまう

Corollary M2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain with integral closure *S*. Let $a \in R$ and suppose that $Q \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal minimal over $(aS :_S b)$. Set $P := Q \cap R$.

Then Q has height one and there are only finitely many height one prime ideals $Q := Q_1, \ldots, Q_h$ in S lying over P. Morover, for any $1 \le i \le h$, S_{Q_i} is a DVR.

Proof. We may assume that *R* is local at *P*. Let *A* be as in the Lemma L2. Lemma K2 applied to the ring *A*, shows $Q \cap A$ is associated to a principal ideal.

Thus, by Lemma L2, $A_{Q \cap A}$ is a DVR. It follows that $A_{Q \cap A} = S_Q$.

In particular, Q has height one.

The same argument applies to any height one prime in S lying over P. Thus, these all contract to distinct primes in A containing PA.

Moreover, since each contraction to A is minimal over PA (by lying over), these contractions are finite in number since A is Noetherian.

Corollary M2. Let *R* be a Noetherian domain with integral closure *S*. Let $a \in R$ and suppose that $Q \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal minimal over $(aS :_S b)$. Set $P := Q \cap R$.

Then Q has height one and there are only finitely many height one prime ideals $Q := Q_1, \ldots, Q_h$ in S lying over P. Morover, for any $1 \le i \le h$, S_{Q_i} is a DVR.

Proof. We may assume that *R* is local at *P*. Let *A* be as in the Lemma L2. Lemma K2 applied to the ring *A*, shows $Q \cap A$ is associated to a principal ideal.

Thus, by Lemma L2, $A_{Q \cap A}$ is a DVR. It follows that $A_{Q \cap A} = S_Q$.

In particular, Q has height one.

The same argument applies to any height one prime in S lying over P. Thus, these all contract to distinct primes in A containing PA.

Moreover, since each contraction to A is minimal over PA (by lying over), these contractions are finite in number since A is Noetherian.

Thus, only finitely many height one primes in S contract to P.

- 4 回 ト 4 戸 ト 4 戸 ト

We now state and prove the Mori-Nagata theorem :

문어 문

We now state and prove the Mori-Nagata theorem :

Theorem N2. Let R be a Noetherian integral domain with quotient field K and let L be a finite algebraic extension of K. Write S for the integral closure of R in L. Then :

э

We now state and prove the Mori-Nagata theorem :

Theorem N2. Let R be a Noetherian integral domain with quotient field K and let L be a finite algebraic extension of K. Write S for the integral closure of R in L. Then :

- (i) S is a Krull domain.
- (ii) For every prime ideal $P \subseteq R$, there are only finitely many primes $Q \subseteq S$ lying over P. Moreover, for any such Q, $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

We now state and prove the Mori-Nagata theorem :

Theorem N2. Let R be a Noetherian integral domain with quotient field K and let L be a finite algebraic extension of K. Write S for the integral closure of R in L. Then :

- (i) S is a Krull domain.
- (ii) For every prime ideal $P \subseteq R$, there are only finitely many primes $Q \subseteq S$ lying over P. Moreover, for any such Q, $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

Proof. For (i), we first reduce to the case that K = L.

We now state and prove the Mori-Nagata theorem :

Theorem N2. Let R be a Noetherian integral domain with quotient field K and let L be a finite algebraic extension of K. Write S for the integral closure of R in L. Then :

- (i) S is a Krull domain.
- (ii) For every prime ideal $P \subseteq R$, there are only finitely many primes $Q \subseteq S$ lying over P. Moreover, for any such Q, $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

Proof. For (i), we first reduce to the case that K = L. Since L is finite over K, we may find a subring R_0 of S with the following properties : R_0 is finite over R and R_0 has quotient field L.

We now state and prove the Mori-Nagata theorem :

Theorem N2. Let R be a Noetherian integral domain with quotient field K and let L be a finite algebraic extension of K. Write S for the integral closure of R in L. Then :

- (i) S is a Krull domain.
- (ii) For every prime ideal $P \subseteq R$, there are only finitely many primes $Q \subseteq S$ lying over P. Moreover, for any such Q, $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

Proof. For (i), we first reduce to the case that K = L. Since L is finite over K, we may find a subring R_0 of S with the following properties : R_0 is finite over R and R_0 has quotient field L.

Thus, S is the integral closure of R_0 . Changing notation, we may start again, assuming simply that S is the integral closure of R.

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

We now check off the properties required in verifying that S is a Krull domain.

2

We now check off the properties required in verifying that S is a Krull domain.

First, let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime ideal. Take $0 \neq a \in Q \cap R$.

April 3: Krull Domains and the Mori-Nagata Theorem, part 3

< ∃ >

-

3

We now check off the properties required in verifying that S is a Krull domain.

First, let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime ideal. Take $0 \neq a \in Q \cap R$. Then Q is minimal over $(a:_S 1)$, so by Corollary M2, S_Q is a DVR.

We now check off the properties required in verifying that S is a Krull domain.

First, let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime ideal. Take $0 \neq a \in Q \cap R$. Then Q is minimal over $(a:_S 1)$, so by Corollary M2, S_Q is a DVR.

Second, let $0 \neq s \in S$.

医下口 医下

3

We now check off the properties required in verifying that S is a Krull domain.

First, let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime ideal. Take $0 \neq a \in Q \cap R$. Then Q is minimal over $(a:_S 1)$, so by Corollary M2, S_Q is a DVR.

Second, let $0 \neq s \in S$. If we show that some multiple of s is contained in only finitely many height one primes in S, then the same holds for s.

We now check off the properties required in verifying that S is a Krull domain.

First, let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime ideal. Take $0 \neq a \in Q \cap R$. Then Q is minimal over $(a:_S 1)$, so by Corollary M2, S_Q is a DVR.

Second, let $0 \neq s \in S$. If we show that some multiple of s is contained in only finitely many height one primes in S, then the same holds for s. Thus, we take $a \in R$, any non-zero multiple of s in R.

We now check off the properties required in verifying that S is a Krull domain.

First, let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime ideal. Take $0 \neq a \in Q \cap R$. Then Q is minimal over $(a:_S 1)$, so by Corollary M2, S_Q is a DVR.

Second, let $0 \neq s \in S$. If we show that some multiple of s is contained in only finitely many height one primes in S, then the same holds for s. Thus, we take $a \in R$, any non-zero multiple of s in R.

If Q is a height one prime containing a, then by Lemma K2, $P := Q \cap R$ is an associated prime of R/aR.

伺い イヨト イヨト

We now check off the properties required in verifying that S is a Krull domain.

First, let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime ideal. Take $0 \neq a \in Q \cap R$. Then Q is minimal over $(a:_S 1)$, so by Corollary M2, S_Q is a DVR.

Second, let $0 \neq s \in S$. If we show that some multiple of s is contained in only finitely many height one primes in S, then the same holds for s. Thus, we take $a \in R$, any non-zero multiple of s in R.

If Q is a height one prime containing a, then by Lemma K2, $P := Q \cap R$ is an associated prime of R/aR.

By Corollary M2 only finitely many height one primes in S lie over P.

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

We now check off the properties required in verifying that S is a Krull domain.

First, let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime ideal. Take $0 \neq a \in Q \cap R$. Then Q is minimal over $(a :_S 1)$, so by Corollary M2, S_Q is a DVR.

Second, let $0 \neq s \in S$. If we show that some multiple of s is contained in only finitely many height one primes in S, then the same holds for s. Thus, we take $a \in R$, any non-zero multiple of s in R.

If Q is a height one prime containing a, then by Lemma K2, $P := Q \cap R$ is an associated prime of R/aR.

By Corollary M2 only finitely many height one primes in S lie over P.

Since R/aR has only finitely many associated primes, there can only be finitely many height one primes containing aS.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Finally, suppose that $x \in \bigcap S_Q$, where the intersection ranges over the height one primes of S.

2

글 🖌 🔺 글 🕨

Finally, suppose that $x \in \bigcap S_Q$, where the intersection ranges over the height one primes of S.

We can write x := b/a, for $b, a \in R$.

э

Finally, suppose that $x \in \bigcap S_Q$, where the intersection ranges over the height one primes of S.

We can write x := b/a, for $b, a \in R$. If x is not in S, then $(aS :_S b)$ is a proper ideal. Let Q be a minimal prime over $(aS :_S b)$.

Finally, suppose that $x \in \bigcap S_Q$, where the intersection ranges over the height one primes of S.

We can write x := b/a, for $b, a \in R$. If x is not in S, then $(aS :_S b)$ is a proper ideal. Let Q be a minimal prime over $(aS :_S b)$.

By Corollary M2, Q has height one.

April 3: Krull Domains and the Mori-Nagata Theorem, part 3

Finally, suppose that $x \in \bigcap S_Q$, where the intersection ranges over the height one primes of S.

We can write x := b/a, for $b, a \in R$. If x is not in S, then $(aS :_S b)$ is a proper ideal. Let Q be a minimal prime over $(aS :_S b)$.

By Corollary M2, Q has height one. But $x \in S_Q$, contradiction.

April 3: Krull Domains and the Mori-Nagata Theorem, part 3

Finally, suppose that $x \in \bigcap S_Q$, where the intersection ranges over the height one primes of S.

We can write x := b/a, for $b, a \in R$. If x is not in S, then $(aS :_S b)$ is a proper ideal. Let Q be a minimal prime over $(aS :_S b)$.

By Corollary M2, Q has height one. But $x \in S_Q$, contradiction.

Thus, S is the intersection of its localizations at height one primes.

Finally, suppose that $x \in \bigcap S_Q$, where the intersection ranges over the height one primes of S.

We can write x := b/a, for $b, a \in R$. If x is not in S, then $(aS :_S b)$ is a proper ideal. Let Q be a minimal prime over $(aS :_S b)$.

By Corollary M2, Q has height one. But $x \in S_Q$, contradiction.

Thus, S is the intersection of its localizations at height one primes.

Therefore, S is a Krull domain.

For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal.

April 3: Krull Domains and the Mori-Nagata Theorem, part 3

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. We may assume R is local at P.

April 3: Krull Domains and the Mori-Nagata Theorem, part 3

イロト イヨト イヨト

= 990

For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. We may assume R is local at P. Let $Q \subseteq S$ lie over P.

April 3: Krull Domains and the Mori-Nagata Theorem, part 3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э.

For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. We may assume R is local at P. Let $Q \subseteq S$ lie over P. We first show by induction on the height of P that $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

э.

For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. We may assume R is local at P. Let $Q \subseteq S$ lie over P. We first show by induction on the height of P that $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

When P has height one, R has dimension one, so we can apply Krull-Akizuki.

医下口 医下

э

For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. We may assume R is local at P. Let $Q \subseteq S$ lie over P. We first show by induction on the height of P that $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

When P has height one, R has dimension one, so we can apply Krull-Akizuki.

Suppose the height of P is greater than one.

医下口 医下

For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. We may assume R is local at P. Let $Q \subseteq S$ lie over P. We first show by induction on the height of P that $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

When P has height one, R has dimension one, so we can apply Krull-Akizuki.

Suppose the height of P is greater than one. If Q has height one, we let A be as before.

4 3 5 4 3 5 5
For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. We may assume R is local at P. Let $Q \subseteq S$ lie over P. We first show by induction on the height of P that $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

When P has height one, R has dimension one, so we can apply Krull-Akizuki.

Suppose the height of *P* is greater than one. If *Q* has height one, we let *A* be as before. As in Corollary M2, $A_{Q\cap A} = S_Q$, so $k(Q) = k(Q \cap A)$.

化压力 化压力

For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. We may assume R is local at P. Let $Q \subseteq S$ lie over P. We first show by induction on the height of P that $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

When P has height one, R has dimension one, so we can apply Krull-Akizuki.

Suppose the height of *P* is greater than one. If *Q* has height one, we let *A* be as before. As in Corollary M2, $A_{Q\cap A} = S_Q$, so $k(Q) = k(Q \cap A)$.

But now, if a is any non-zero element in P, A/aA is finite over R (by Matijevic's theorem), so $A/Q \cap A$ is finite over R/P,

伺い イラト イラト

For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. We may assume R is local at P. Let $Q \subseteq S$ lie over P. We first show by induction on the height of P that $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

When P has height one, R has dimension one, so we can apply Krull-Akizuki.

Suppose the height of *P* is greater than one. If *Q* has height one, we let *A* be as before. As in Corollary M2, $A_{Q\cap A} = S_Q$, so $k(Q) = k(Q \cap A)$.

But now, if a is any non-zero element in P, A/aA is finite over R (by Matijevic's theorem), so $A/Q \cap A$ is finite over R/P, which then gives $[k(Q \cap A) : k(P)] < \infty$.

伺い イラト イラト

For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. We may assume R is local at P. Let $Q \subseteq S$ lie over P. We first show by induction on the height of P that $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

When P has height one, R has dimension one, so we can apply Krull-Akizuki.

Suppose the height of *P* is greater than one. If *Q* has height one, we let *A* be as before. As in Corollary M2, $A_{Q\cap A} = S_Q$, so $k(Q) = k(Q \cap A)$.

But now, if a is any non-zero element in P, A/aA is finite over R (by Matijevic's theorem), so $A/Q \cap A$ is finite over R/P, which then gives $[k(Q \cap A) : k(P)] < \infty$.

Suppose Q has height greater than one.

伺い イラト イラト

For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. We may assume R is local at P. Let $Q \subseteq S$ lie over P. We first show by induction on the height of P that $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

When P has height one, R has dimension one, so we can apply Krull-Akizuki.

Suppose the height of *P* is greater than one. If *Q* has height one, we let *A* be as before. As in Corollary M2, $A_{Q\cap A} = S_Q$, so $k(Q) = k(Q \cap A)$.

But now, if a is any non-zero element in P, A/aA is finite over R (by Matijevic's theorem), so $A/Q \cap A$ is finite over R/P, which then gives $[k(Q \cap A) : k(P)] < \infty$.

Suppose Q has height greater than one. Then we take Q' properly contained in Q and $P' := Q' \cap R$.

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. We may assume R is local at P. Let $Q \subseteq S$ lie over P. We first show by induction on the height of P that $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

When P has height one, R has dimension one, so we can apply Krull-Akizuki.

Suppose the height of *P* is greater than one. If *Q* has height one, we let *A* be as before. As in Corollary M2, $A_{Q\cap A} = S_Q$, so $k(Q) = k(Q \cap A)$.

But now, if a is any non-zero element in P, A/aA is finite over R (by Matijevic's theorem), so $A/Q \cap A$ is finite over R/P, which then gives $[k(Q \cap A) : k(P)] < \infty$.

Suppose Q has height greater than one. Then we take Q' properly contained in Q and $P' := Q' \cap R$. By induction applied to P', the quotient field of S/Q'is finite over the quotient field of R/P'.

くぼう くまう くまう

For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. We may assume R is local at P. Let $Q \subseteq S$ lie over P. We first show by induction on the height of P that $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

When P has height one, R has dimension one, so we can apply Krull-Akizuki.

Suppose the height of *P* is greater than one. If *Q* has height one, we let *A* be as before. As in Corollary M2, $A_{Q\cap A} = S_Q$, so $k(Q) = k(Q \cap A)$.

But now, if a is any non-zero element in P, A/aA is finite over R (by Matijevic's theorem), so $A/Q \cap A$ is finite over R/P, which then gives $[k(Q \cap A) : k(P)] < \infty$.

Suppose Q has height greater than one. Then we take Q' properly contained in Q and $P' := Q' \cap R$. By induction applied to P', the quotient field of S/Q'is finite over the quotient field of R/P'.

We have $R/P' \subseteq S/Q' \subseteq (S/Q')'$.

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. We may assume R is local at P. Let $Q \subseteq S$ lie over P. We first show by induction on the height of P that $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

When P has height one, R has dimension one, so we can apply Krull-Akizuki.

Suppose the height of *P* is greater than one. If *Q* has height one, we let *A* be as before. As in Corollary M2, $A_{Q\cap A} = S_Q$, so $k(Q) = k(Q \cap A)$.

But now, if a is any non-zero element in P, A/aA is finite over R (by Matijevic's theorem), so $A/Q \cap A$ is finite over R/P, which then gives $[k(Q \cap A) : k(P)] < \infty$.

Suppose Q has height greater than one. Then we take Q' properly contained in Q and $P' := Q' \cap R$. By induction applied to P', the quotient field of S/Q'is finite over the quotient field of R/P'.

We have $R/P' \subseteq S/Q' \subseteq (S/Q')'$.

Thus, induction applied to P/P' shows that $[k(Q/Q') : k(P/P')] < \infty$.

For statement (ii), let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. We may assume R is local at P. Let $Q \subseteq S$ lie over P. We first show by induction on the height of P that $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

When P has height one, R has dimension one, so we can apply Krull-Akizuki.

Suppose the height of *P* is greater than one. If *Q* has height one, we let *A* be as before. As in Corollary M2, $A_{Q\cap A} = S_Q$, so $k(Q) = k(Q \cap A)$.

But now, if a is any non-zero element in P, A/aA is finite over R (by Matijevic's theorem), so $A/Q \cap A$ is finite over R/P, which then gives $[k(Q \cap A) : k(P)] < \infty$.

Suppose Q has height greater than one. Then we take Q' properly contained in Q and $P' := Q' \cap R$. By induction applied to P', the quotient field of S/Q'is finite over the quotient field of R/P'.

We have $R/P' \subseteq S/Q' \subseteq (S/Q')'$.

Thus, induction applied to P/P' shows that $[k(Q/Q') : k(P/P')] < \infty$.

But, k(Q/Q') = k(Q) and k(P/P') = k(P), so, $[k(Q) : k(P)] < \infty$.

□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q ()

Finally, for $P \subseteq R$, we show that there are only finitely many primes $Q \subseteq S$ lying over P.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

= 990

Finally, for $P \subseteq R$, we show that there are only finitely many primes $Q \subseteq S$ lying over P. First note that by Corollary M2, there are only finitely many height one primes in S lying over P.

医下口 医下

3

Finally, for $P \subseteq R$, we show that there are only finitely many primes $Q \subseteq S$ lying over P. First note that by Corollary M2, there are only finitely many height one primes in S lying over P. Note that this finishes the proof if P has height one.

Now, let $0 \neq a$ belong to *P*.

きょうきょう

э

Finally, for $P \subseteq R$, we show that there are only finitely many primes $Q \subseteq S$ lying over P. First note that by Corollary M2, there are only finitely many height one primes in S lying over P. Note that this finishes the proof if P has height one.

Now, let $0 \neq a$ belong to *P*. On the one hand, since *S* is a Krull domain, there are only finitely many primes in *S*, all of height one, minimal over *aS*.

Finally, for $P \subseteq R$, we show that there are only finitely many primes $Q \subseteq S$ lying over P. First note that by Corollary M2, there are only finitely many height one primes in S lying over P. Note that this finishes the proof if P has height one.

Now, let $0 \neq a$ belong to *P*. On the one hand, since *S* is a Krull domain, there are only finitely many primes in *S*, all of height one, minimal over *aS*.

On the other hand, any prime Q of height greater than one which contracts to P must contain one of these minimal primes.

不是下 不是下

Finally, for $P \subseteq R$, we show that there are only finitely many primes $Q \subseteq S$ lying over P. First note that by Corollary M2, there are only finitely many height one primes in S lying over P. Note that this finishes the proof if P has height one.

Now, let $0 \neq a$ belong to *P*. On the one hand, since *S* is a Krull domain, there are only finitely many primes in *S*, all of height one, minimal over *aS*.

On the other hand, any prime Q of height greater than one which contracts to P must contain one of these minimal primes. Let Q' be a height one prime containing aS and set $P' := Q' \cap R$.

Finally, for $P \subseteq R$, we show that there are only finitely many primes $Q \subseteq S$ lying over P. First note that by Corollary M2, there are only finitely many height one primes in S lying over P. Note that this finishes the proof if P has height one.

Now, let $0 \neq a$ belong to *P*. On the one hand, since *S* is a Krull domain, there are only finitely many primes in *S*, all of height one, minimal over *aS*.

On the other hand, any prime Q of height greater than one which contracts to P must contain one of these minimal primes. Let Q' be a height one prime containing aS and set $P' := Q' \cap R$. k(Q') is finite over the quotient field of k(P').

Now, let $0 \neq a$ belong to *P*. On the one hand, since *S* is a Krull domain, there are only finitely many primes in *S*, all of height one, minimal over *aS*.

On the other hand, any prime Q of height greater than one which contracts to P must contain one of these minimal primes. Let Q' be a height one prime containing aS and set $P' := Q' \cap R$. k(Q') is finite over the quotient field of k(P').

Thus, by induction on the height of P, in the integral closure of R/P' in k(Q'), there are only finitely many primes lying over P/P'.

Now, let $0 \neq a$ belong to *P*. On the one hand, since *S* is a Krull domain, there are only finitely many primes in *S*, all of height one, minimal over *aS*.

On the other hand, any prime Q of height greater than one which contracts to P must contain one of these minimal primes. Let Q' be a height one prime containing aS and set $P' := Q' \cap R$. k(Q') is finite over the quotient field of k(P').

Thus, by induction on the height of P, in the integral closure of R/P' in k(Q'), there are only finitely many primes lying over P/P'. Therefore, S/Q' contains only finitely many primes lying over P/P'.

くぼう くうち くうち

Now, let $0 \neq a$ belong to *P*. On the one hand, since *S* is a Krull domain, there are only finitely many primes in *S*, all of height one, minimal over *aS*.

On the other hand, any prime Q of height greater than one which contracts to P must contain one of these minimal primes. Let Q' be a height one prime containing aS and set $P' := Q' \cap R$. k(Q') is finite over the quotient field of k(P').

Thus, by induction on the height of P, in the integral closure of R/P' in k(Q'), there are only finitely many primes lying over P/P'. Therefore, S/Q' contains only finitely many primes lying over P/P'.

Thus, there are only finitely many primes in S containing Q' lying over P.

イヨト イラト イラト

Now, let $0 \neq a$ belong to *P*. On the one hand, since *S* is a Krull domain, there are only finitely many primes in *S*, all of height one, minimal over *aS*.

On the other hand, any prime Q of height greater than one which contracts to P must contain one of these minimal primes. Let Q' be a height one prime containing aS and set $P' := Q' \cap R$. k(Q') is finite over the quotient field of k(P').

Thus, by induction on the height of P, in the integral closure of R/P' in k(Q'), there are only finitely many primes lying over P/P'. Therefore, S/Q' contains only finitely many primes lying over P/P'.

Thus, there are only finitely many primes in *S* containing Q' lying over *P*. Since this holds for each of the finitely many minimal primes of *aS*, we conclude that there are also only finitely many primes of height greater than one in *S* lying over *P*.

Now, let $0 \neq a$ belong to *P*. On the one hand, since *S* is a Krull domain, there are only finitely many primes in *S*, all of height one, minimal over *aS*.

On the other hand, any prime Q of height greater than one which contracts to P must contain one of these minimal primes. Let Q' be a height one prime containing aS and set $P' := Q' \cap R$. k(Q') is finite over the quotient field of k(P').

Thus, by induction on the height of P, in the integral closure of R/P' in k(Q'), there are only finitely many primes lying over P/P'. Therefore, S/Q' contains only finitely many primes lying over P/P'.

Thus, there are only finitely many primes in *S* containing Q' lying over *P*. Since this holds for each of the finitely many minimal primes of *aS*, we conclude that there are also only finitely many primes of height greater than one in *S* lying over *P*.

This completes the proof of the Mori-Nagata theorem.

As applications of the Mori-Nagata theorem, we will prove that the integral closure of a two-dimensional Noetherian domain is Noetherian and that a complete local domain is satisfies N_2 .

э

프 () 이 프 () 이

As applications of the Mori-Nagata theorem, we will prove that the integral closure of a two-dimensional Noetherian domain is Noetherian and that a complete local domain is satisfies N_2 .

Theorem O2. Let R be a two-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field K. Let S be the integral closure of R in a finite extension of its quotient field. Then S is Noetherian.

э

As applications of the Mori-Nagata theorem, we will prove that the integral closure of a two-dimensional Noetherian domain is Noetherian and that a complete local domain is satisfies N_2 .

Theorem O2. Let R be a two-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field K. Let S be the integral closure of R in a finite extension of its quotient field. Then S is Noetherian.

Proof. By the Mori-Nagata theorem, S is a Krull domain, so by Nishimura's theorem (Theorem 2C), it suffices to prove that S/Q is Noetherian for all height one primes $Q \subseteq S$.

As applications of the Mori-Nagata theorem, we will prove that the integral closure of a two-dimensional Noetherian domain is Noetherian and that a complete local domain is satisfies N_2 .

Theorem O2. Let R be a two-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field K. Let S be the integral closure of R in a finite extension of its quotient field. Then S is Noetherian.

Proof. By the Mori-Nagata theorem, S is a Krull domain, so by Nishimura's theorem (Theorem 2C), it suffices to prove that S/Q is Noetherian for all height one primes $Q \subseteq S$.

Take such a prime and set $P = Q \cap R$.

医下颌 医下颌

As applications of the Mori-Nagata theorem, we will prove that the integral closure of a two-dimensional Noetherian domain is Noetherian and that a complete local domain is satisfies N_2 .

Theorem O2. Let R be a two-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field K. Let S be the integral closure of R in a finite extension of its quotient field. Then S is Noetherian.

Proof. By the Mori-Nagata theorem, S is a Krull domain, so by Nishimura's theorem (Theorem 2C), it suffices to prove that S/Q is Noetherian for all height one primes $Q \subseteq S$.

Take such a prime and set $P = Q \cap R$. Note that R/P is a one-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field k(P).

医肾管医肾管医炎

3

As applications of the Mori-Nagata theorem, we will prove that the integral closure of a two-dimensional Noetherian domain is Noetherian and that a complete local domain is satisfies N_2 .

Theorem O2. Let R be a two-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field K. Let S be the integral closure of R in a finite extension of its quotient field. Then S is Noetherian.

Proof. By the Mori-Nagata theorem, S is a Krull domain, so by Nishimura's theorem (Theorem 2C), it suffices to prove that S/Q is Noetherian for all height one primes $Q \subseteq S$.

Take such a prime and set $P = Q \cap R$. Note that R/P is a one-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field k(P).

By the second part of the Mori-Nagata theorem, [k(Q) : k(P)] is finite.

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

3

As applications of the Mori-Nagata theorem, we will prove that the integral closure of a two-dimensional Noetherian domain is Noetherian and that a complete local domain is satisfies N_2 .

Theorem O2. Let R be a two-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field K. Let S be the integral closure of R in a finite extension of its quotient field. Then S is Noetherian.

Proof. By the Mori-Nagata theorem, S is a Krull domain, so by Nishimura's theorem (Theorem 2C), it suffices to prove that S/Q is Noetherian for all height one primes $Q \subseteq S$.

Take such a prime and set $P = Q \cap R$. Note that R/P is a one-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field k(P).

By the second part of the Mori-Nagata theorem, [k(Q) : k(P)] is finite.

Since $R/P \subseteq S/Q \subseteq k(Q)$,

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

As applications of the Mori-Nagata theorem, we will prove that the integral closure of a two-dimensional Noetherian domain is Noetherian and that a complete local domain is satisfies N_2 .

Theorem O2. Let R be a two-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field K. Let S be the integral closure of R in a finite extension of its quotient field. Then S is Noetherian.

Proof. By the Mori-Nagata theorem, S is a Krull domain, so by Nishimura's theorem (Theorem 2C), it suffices to prove that S/Q is Noetherian for all height one primes $Q \subseteq S$.

Take such a prime and set $P = Q \cap R$. Note that R/P is a one-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field k(P).

By the second part of the Mori-Nagata theorem, [k(Q) : k(P)] is finite.

Since $R/P \subseteq S/Q \subseteq k(Q)$, S/Q is Noetherian, by the Krull-Akizuki theorem,

▲御▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ 二臣 … 釣�?

As applications of the Mori-Nagata theorem, we will prove that the integral closure of a two-dimensional Noetherian domain is Noetherian and that a complete local domain is satisfies N_2 .

Theorem O2. Let R be a two-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field K. Let S be the integral closure of R in a finite extension of its quotient field. Then S is Noetherian.

Proof. By the Mori-Nagata theorem, S is a Krull domain, so by Nishimura's theorem (Theorem 2C), it suffices to prove that S/Q is Noetherian for all height one primes $Q \subseteq S$.

Take such a prime and set $P = Q \cap R$. Note that R/P is a one-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field k(P).

By the second part of the Mori-Nagata theorem, [k(Q) : k(P)] is finite.

Since $R/P \subseteq S/Q \subseteq k(Q)$, S/Q is Noetherian, by the Krull-Akizuki theorem, and the proof is complete.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト … ヨ

The standard proof the next theorem appeals to the Cohen Structure Theorem. The proof below avoids the use of Cohen's Structure Theorem, and is modeled on the proof of Nishimura's Theorem C2.

A 34 b

э

The standard proof the next theorem appeals to the Cohen Structure Theorem. The proof below avoids the use of Cohen's Structure Theorem, and is modeled on the proof of Nishimura's Theorem C2.

Theorem M2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local domain with quotient field K. Let L be a finite extension of K and S be the integral closure of R in L. Then S is a finitely generated R-module.

The standard proof the next theorem appeals to the Cohen Structure Theorem. The proof below avoids the use of Cohen's Structure Theorem, and is modeled on the proof of Nishimura's Theorem C2.

Theorem M2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local domain with quotient field K. Let L be a finite extension of K and S be the integral closure of R in L. Then S is a finitely generated R-module. In other words, R satisfies the Nagata condition N_2 .

The standard proof the next theorem appeals to the Cohen Structure Theorem. The proof below avoids the use of Cohen's Structure Theorem, and is modeled on the proof of Nishimura's Theorem C2.

Theorem M2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local domain with quotient field K. Let L be a finite extension of K and S be the integral closure of R in L. Then S is a finitely generated R-module. In other words, R satisfies the Nagata condition N_2 .

Proof. We will use the fact that if M is an R module and M satisfies the two conditions:

The standard proof the next theorem appeals to the Cohen Structure Theorem. The proof below avoids the use of Cohen's Structure Theorem, and is modeled on the proof of Nishimura's Theorem C2.

Theorem M2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local domain with quotient field K. Let L be a finite extension of K and S be the integral closure of R in L. Then S is a finitely generated R-module. In other words, R satisfies the Nagata condition N_2 .

Proof. We will use the fact that if M is an R module and M satisfies the two conditions: (i) $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ is finite over R and

The standard proof the next theorem appeals to the Cohen Structure Theorem. The proof below avoids the use of Cohen's Structure Theorem, and is modeled on the proof of Nishimura's Theorem C2.

Theorem M2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local domain with quotient field K. Let L be a finite extension of K and S be the integral closure of R in L. Then S is a finitely generated R-module. In other words, R satisfies the Nagata condition N_2 .

Proof. We will use the fact that if M is an R module and M satisfies the two conditions: (i) $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ is finite over R and (ii) $\bigcap_{n\geq 1}\mathfrak{m}^n M = 0$, then M is finite over R.
The standard proof the next theorem appeals to the Cohen Structure Theorem. The proof below avoids the use of Cohen's Structure Theorem, and is modeled on the proof of Nishimura's Theorem C2.

Theorem M2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local domain with quotient field K. Let L be a finite extension of K and S be the integral closure of R in L. Then S is a finitely generated R-module. In other words, R satisfies the Nagata condition N_2 .

Proof. We will use the fact that if M is an R module and M satisfies the two conditions: (i) $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ is finite over R and (ii) $\bigcap_{n\geq 1}\mathfrak{m}^n M = 0$, then M is finite over R.

We induct on the dimension of R.

The standard proof the next theorem appeals to the Cohen Structure Theorem. The proof below avoids the use of Cohen's Structure Theorem, and is modeled on the proof of Nishimura's Theorem C2.

Theorem M2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local domain with quotient field K. Let L be a finite extension of K and S be the integral closure of R in L. Then S is a finitely generated R-module. In other words, R satisfies the Nagata condition N_2 .

Proof. We will use the fact that if M is an R module and M satisfies the two conditions: (i) $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ is finite over R and (ii) $\bigcap_{n\geq 1}\mathfrak{m}^n M = 0$, then M is finite over R.

We induct on the dimension of R. Suppose R has dimension one and let a be any non-zero element in \mathfrak{m} .

医下颌 医下颌

The standard proof the next theorem appeals to the Cohen Structure Theorem. The proof below avoids the use of Cohen's Structure Theorem, and is modeled on the proof of Nishimura's Theorem C2.

Theorem M2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local domain with quotient field K. Let L be a finite extension of K and S be the integral closure of R in L. Then S is a finitely generated R-module. In other words, R satisfies the Nagata condition N_2 .

Proof. We will use the fact that if M is an R module and M satisfies the two conditions: (i) $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ is finite over R and (ii) $\bigcap_{n\geq 1}\mathfrak{m}^n M = 0$, then M is finite over R.

We induct on the dimension of R. Suppose R has dimension one and let a be any non-zero element in \mathfrak{m} . Then by the Krull-Akizuki theorem (or Matijevic's theorem), S/aS is finite over R.

医下颌 医下颌

The standard proof the next theorem appeals to the Cohen Structure Theorem. The proof below avoids the use of Cohen's Structure Theorem, and is modeled on the proof of Nishimura's Theorem C2.

Theorem M2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local domain with quotient field K. Let L be a finite extension of K and S be the integral closure of R in L. Then S is a finitely generated R-module. In other words, R satisfies the Nagata condition N_2 .

Proof. We will use the fact that if M is an R module and M satisfies the two conditions: (i) $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ is finite over R and (ii) $\bigcap_{n\geq 1}\mathfrak{m}^n M = 0$, then M is finite over R.

We induct on the dimension of R. Suppose R has dimension one and let a be any non-zero element in \mathfrak{m} . Then by the Krull-Akizuki theorem (or Matijevic's theorem), S/aS is finite over R.

Thus, $S/\mathfrak{m}S$ is finite over R.

The standard proof the next theorem appeals to the Cohen Structure Theorem. The proof below avoids the use of Cohen's Structure Theorem, and is modeled on the proof of Nishimura's Theorem C2.

Theorem M2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local domain with quotient field K. Let L be a finite extension of K and S be the integral closure of R in L. Then S is a finitely generated R-module. In other words, R satisfies the Nagata condition N_2 .

Proof. We will use the fact that if M is an R module and M satisfies the two conditions: (i) $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ is finite over R and (ii) $\bigcap_{n\geq 1}\mathfrak{m}^n M = 0$, then M is finite over R.

We induct on the dimension of R. Suppose R has dimension one and let a be any non-zero element in \mathfrak{m} . Then by the Krull-Akizuki theorem (or Matijevic's theorem), S/aS is finite over R.

Thus, $S/\mathfrak{m}S$ is finite over R.

On the other hand, S is a Noetherian domain (by Krull-Akizuki), so $\bigcap_{n\geq 1}\mathfrak{m}^nS=0.$

化压力 化压力

The standard proof the next theorem appeals to the Cohen Structure Theorem. The proof below avoids the use of Cohen's Structure Theorem, and is modeled on the proof of Nishimura's Theorem C2.

Theorem M2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a complete local domain with quotient field K. Let L be a finite extension of K and S be the integral closure of R in L. Then S is a finitely generated R-module. In other words, R satisfies the Nagata condition N_2 .

Proof. We will use the fact that if M is an R module and M satisfies the two conditions: (i) $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ is finite over R and (ii) $\bigcap_{n\geq 1}\mathfrak{m}^n M = 0$, then M is finite over R.

We induct on the dimension of R. Suppose R has dimension one and let a be any non-zero element in \mathfrak{m} . Then by the Krull-Akizuki theorem (or Matijevic's theorem), S/aS is finite over R.

Thus, $S/\mathfrak{m}S$ is finite over R.

On the other hand, S is a Noetherian domain (by Krull-Akizuki), so $\bigcap_{n>1} \mathfrak{m}^n S = 0$. Thus, S is a finite R-module.

化压力 化压力

Suppose that R has dimension greater than one.

April 3: Krull Domains and the Mori-Nagata Theorem, part 3

(1) マン・ (1) マン・ (1)

2

Suppose that R has dimension greater than one. Let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime.

イロト 不同 トイヨト イヨト

= 990

Suppose that *R* has dimension greater than one. Let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime. Then $[k(Q) : k(Q \cap R)]$ is finite, so by induction applied to the complete local domain $R/Q \cap R$, S/Q is a finite $R/Q \cap R$ -module, and thus is finite over *R*.

(日本) (日本) (日本)

э

Suppose that *R* has dimension greater than one. Let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime. Then $[k(Q) : k(Q \cap R)]$ is finite, so by induction applied to the complete local domain $R/Q \cap R$, S/Q is a finite $R/Q \cap R$ -module, and thus is finite over *R*.

By Proposition B2, $Q^{(n)}/Q^{(n+1)}$ embeds into S/Q for all *n*, so these modules are finite over *R*.

4回り イヨト イヨト

3

Suppose that *R* has dimension greater than one. Let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime. Then $[k(Q) : k(Q \cap R)]$ is finite, so by induction applied to the complete local domain $R/Q \cap R$, S/Q is a finite $R/Q \cap R$ -module, and thus is finite over *R*.

By Proposition B2, $Q^{(n)}/Q^{(n+1)}$ embeds into S/Q for all n, so these modules are finite over R. Thus, induction applied to the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow Q^{(n)}/Q^{(n+1)} \rightarrow S/Q^{(n+1)} \rightarrow S/Q^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$$

shows that $S/Q^{(n)}$ is finite over R, for all $n \ge 1$.

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Suppose that *R* has dimension greater than one. Let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime. Then $[k(Q) : k(Q \cap R)]$ is finite, so by induction applied to the complete local domain $R/Q \cap R$, S/Q is a finite $R/Q \cap R$ -module, and thus is finite over *R*.

By Proposition B2, $Q^{(n)}/Q^{(n+1)}$ embeds into S/Q for all *n*, so these modules are finite over *R*. Thus, induction applied to the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow Q^{(n)}/Q^{(n+1)} \rightarrow S/Q^{(n+1)} \rightarrow S/Q^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$$

shows that $S/Q^{(n)}$ is finite over R, for all $n \ge 1$.

Now, let a be any non-zero element of \mathfrak{m} .

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

-

Suppose that *R* has dimension greater than one. Let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime. Then $[k(Q) : k(Q \cap R)]$ is finite, so by induction applied to the complete local domain $R/Q \cap R$, S/Q is a finite $R/Q \cap R$ -module, and thus is finite over *R*.

By Proposition B2, $Q^{(n)}/Q^{(n+1)}$ embeds into S/Q for all *n*, so these modules are finite over *R*. Thus, induction applied to the exact sequences

$$0
ightarrow Q^{(n)}/Q^{(n+1)}
ightarrow S/Q^{(n+1)}
ightarrow S/Q^{(n)}
ightarrow 0$$

shows that $S/Q^{(n)}$ is finite over R, for all $n \ge 1$.

Now, let *a* be any non-zero element of m. Since *S* is a Krull domain, *aS* has a primary decomposition $aS = Q_1^{(n_1)} \cap \cdots \cap Q_h^{(n_h)}$, where the Q_i are height one primes in *S* and each $n_1 \ge 1$.

不得る イモト イモト・モ

Suppose that *R* has dimension greater than one. Let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime. Then $[k(Q) : k(Q \cap R)]$ is finite, so by induction applied to the complete local domain $R/Q \cap R$, S/Q is a finite $R/Q \cap R$ -module, and thus is finite over *R*.

By Proposition B2, $Q^{(n)}/Q^{(n+1)}$ embeds into S/Q for all *n*, so these modules are finite over *R*. Thus, induction applied to the exact sequences

$$0
ightarrow Q^{(n)}/Q^{(n+1)}
ightarrow S/Q^{(n+1)}
ightarrow S/Q^{(n)}
ightarrow 0$$

shows that $S/Q^{(n)}$ is finite over R, for all $n \ge 1$.

Now, let *a* be any non-zero element of \mathfrak{m} . Since *S* is a Krull domain, *aS* has a primary decomposition $aS = Q_1^{(n_1)} \cap \cdots \cap Q_h^{(n_h)}$, where the Q_i are height one primes in *S* and each $n_1 \ge 1$.

Since S/aS embeds into $S/Q_1^{(n_1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus S/Q_h^{(n_h)}$, it follows that S/aS is finite over R.

Suppose that *R* has dimension greater than one. Let $Q \subseteq S$ be a height one prime. Then $[k(Q) : k(Q \cap R)]$ is finite, so by induction applied to the complete local domain $R/Q \cap R$, S/Q is a finite $R/Q \cap R$ -module, and thus is finite over *R*.

By Proposition B2, $Q^{(n)}/Q^{(n+1)}$ embeds into S/Q for all *n*, so these modules are finite over *R*. Thus, induction applied to the exact sequences

$$0
ightarrow Q^{(n)}/Q^{(n+1)}
ightarrow S/Q^{(n+1)}
ightarrow S/Q^{(n)}
ightarrow 0$$

shows that $S/Q^{(n)}$ is finite over R, for all $n \ge 1$.

Now, let *a* be any non-zero element of \mathfrak{m} . Since *S* is a Krull domain, *aS* has a primary decomposition $aS = Q_1^{(n_1)} \cap \cdots \cap Q_h^{(n_h)}$, where the Q_i are height one primes in *S* and each $n_1 \ge 1$.

Since S/aS embeds into $S/Q_1^{(n_1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus S/Q_h^{(n_h)}$, it follows that S/aS is finite over R.

Thus, $S/\mathfrak{m}S$ is finite over R.

To see that $\bigcap_{n\geq 1}\mathfrak{m}^nS=0$, take $R_0\subseteq S$ finite over R and birational to S

April 3: Krull Domains and the Mori-Nagata Theorem, part 3

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ■ ∽ � � �

To see that $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} \mathfrak{m}^n S = 0$, take $R_0 \subseteq S$ finite over R and birational to S and take $P \subseteq R_0$ a prime (in fact, its the only one) lying over \mathfrak{m} .

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

э

To see that $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} \mathfrak{m}^n S = 0$, take $R_0 \subseteq S$ finite over R and birational to S and take $P \subseteq R_0$ a prime (in fact, its the only one) lying over \mathfrak{m} .

By Corollary G2, there exists a DVR (V, \mathfrak{m}_V) in L with $R_0 \subseteq V$ and $\mathfrak{m}_V \cap R_0 = P$.

不是下 不是下

э

To see that $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} \mathfrak{m}^n S = 0$, take $R_0 \subseteq S$ finite over R and birational to S and take $P \subseteq R_0$ a prime (in fact, its the only one) lying over \mathfrak{m} .

By Corollary G2, there exists a DVR (V, \mathfrak{m}_V) in L with $R_0 \subseteq V$ and $\mathfrak{m}_V \cap R_0 = P$.

Thus, $\mathfrak{m}_V \cap R = \mathfrak{m}$.

Since V is integrally closed, $S \subseteq V$.

April 3: Krull Domains and the Mori-Nagata Theorem, part 3

きょうきょう

To see that $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} \mathfrak{m}^n S = 0$, take $R_0 \subseteq S$ finite over R and birational to S and take $P \subseteq R_0$ a prime (in fact, its the only one) lying over \mathfrak{m} .

By Corollary G2, there exists a DVR (V, \mathfrak{m}_V) in L with $R_0 \subseteq V$ and $\mathfrak{m}_V \cap R_0 = P$.

Thus, $\mathfrak{m}_V \cap R = \mathfrak{m}$.

Since V is integrally closed, $S \subseteq V$.

Therefore, $\mathfrak{m}^n S \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n_V$, for all *n*.

きょうきょう

To see that $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} \mathfrak{m}^n S = 0$, take $R_0 \subseteq S$ finite over R and birational to S and take $P \subseteq R_0$ a prime (in fact, its the only one) lying over \mathfrak{m} .

By Corollary G2, there exists a DVR (V, \mathfrak{m}_V) in L with $R_0 \subseteq V$ and $\mathfrak{m}_V \cap R_0 = P$.

Thus, $\mathfrak{m}_V \cap R = \mathfrak{m}$.

Since V is integrally closed, $S \subseteq V$.

Therefore, $\mathfrak{m}^n S \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n_V$, for all *n*.

Since $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} \mathfrak{m}_V^n = 0$, follows at once that $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} \mathfrak{m}^n S = 0$, which is what we want.

To see that $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} \mathfrak{m}^n S = 0$, take $R_0 \subseteq S$ finite over R and birational to S and take $P \subseteq R_0$ a prime (in fact, its the only one) lying over \mathfrak{m} .

By Corollary G2, there exists a DVR (V, \mathfrak{m}_V) in L with $R_0 \subseteq V$ and $\mathfrak{m}_V \cap R_0 = P$.

Thus, $\mathfrak{m}_V \cap R = \mathfrak{m}$.

Since V is integrally closed, $S \subseteq V$.

Therefore, $\mathfrak{m}^n S \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n_V$, for all *n*.

Since $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} \mathfrak{m}_V^n = 0$, follows at once that $\bigcap_{n\geq 1} \mathfrak{m}^n S = 0$, which is what we want.

Therefore, S is finite over R.